

Volume 35 | Issue 4

Article 76

3-4-2023

Evaluation of PCR technique as a rapid screening method for detection of group B streptococci colonization in pregnant women

Mahmood D. Al-Mendalawi University of Baghdad, mdalmendalawi@yahoo.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://www.menoufia-med-j.com/journal

🗸 Part of the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Al-Mendalawi, Mahmood D. (2023) "Evaluation of PCR technique as a rapid screening method for detection of group B streptococci colonization in pregnant women," *Menoufia Medical Journal*: Vol. 35: Iss. 4, Article 76.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.4103/mmj.mmj_259_22

This Letter to the Editor is brought to you for free and open access by Menoufia Medical Journal. It has been accepted for inclusion in Menoufia Medical Journal by an authorized editor of Menoufia Medical Journal. For more information, please contact menoufiamedicaljournal@yahoo.com.

Evaluation of PCR technique as a rapid screening method for detection of group B streptococci colonization in pregnant women Mahmood D. Al-Mendalawi

Department of Paediatrics, Al-Kindy College of Medicine, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

Menoufia Med J 35:2090–2090 © 2023 Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University 1110-2098

Correspondence to Professor Mahmood D. Al-Mendalawi, MB, CHB, DCH, FICMS (Paediatrics), PO Box 55302, Baghdad Post Office, Baghdad, Iraq Tel: +964 01 5548170; Postal code: 1111; e-mail: mdalmendalawi@vahoo.com

Received 30 July 2022 Revised 03 August 2022 Accepted 07 August 2022 Published 04 March 2023

Menoufia Medical Journal 2022, 35:2090

Body

We read the interesting study by El-edel et al. [1] published in April-June 2022 issue of the Menoufia Medical Journal. El-edel et al. [1] studied the performance of the PCR as a screening tool for detecting group B streptococci (GBS) colonization in the urogenital tract of Egyptian pregnant women and estimated its prevalence among these pregnant women. They found that the prevalence of colonization with GBS in the studied pregnant women was 20%. The PCR had 99.0% sensitivity and 98.0% specificity with 72.11% positive predicted value and 70.4% negative predictive value [1]. They concluded that the PCR technique is a rapid, specific, and sensitive test for diagnosis and screening for GBS colonization in Egyptian pregnant women [1]. We believe that the nationwide applicability of that screening tool in Egyptian pregnant women requires the consideration of the following two points. First, it is noteworthy that the evaluation of the costs and benefits of GBS screening protocol using PCR has revealed promising results. It generated a net benefit of \$7 per birth on comparing with the maternal risk-factor strategy. For every one million births, 884 fewer infants would acquire infection with early-onset GBS sepsis and 23 infants would be saved from either disability or death, while 80 700 more pregnant would receive antimicrobial therapy [2]. However, the PCR-based screening technique could be expensive and its implementation in the nationwide screening of pregnant women for GBS detection necessitates substantial financial coverage. Second, the significant prevalence of maternal urogenital tract GBS colonization in a particular population prompts the need to set and implement intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis protocols. These protocols, employed in many parts of the world, have dramatically reduced the prevalence of GBS colonization when given to a colonized pregnant woman who has a clinical state that puts her neonate at increased risk for early-onset GBS sepsis [3–5]. Based on the substantial GBS colonization prevalence (20%) in pregnant women reported by El-edel *et al.* [1], we wonder whether the Egyptian intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis protocol is already set or not.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1 El-edel RH, El-Aziz AM, El-Maksoud AM, Morsy DI, Elkholy RM. Evaluation of PCR technique as a rapid screening method for detection of Group B streptococci colonization in pregnant women. Menoufia Med J 2022;35:412–417.
- 2 Haberland CA, Benitz WE, Sanders GD, Pietzsch JB, Yamada S, Nguyen L, et al. Perinatal screening for group B streptococci: cost-benefit analysis of rapid polymerase chain reaction. Pediatrics 2002; 110:471–480.
- 3 Hasperhoven GF, Al-Nasiry S, Bekker V, Villamor E, Kramer B. Universal screening versus risk-based protocols for antibiotic prophylaxis during childbirth to prevent early-onset group B streptococcal disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BJOG 2020;127:680–691.
- 4 Zhu Y, Lin XZ. Updates in prevention policies of early-onset group B streptococcal infection in newborns. Pediatr Neonatol 2021; 62:465–475.
- 5 Dhudasia MB, Flannery DD, Pfeifer MR, Puopolo KM. Updated Guidance: prevention and management of perinatal group B streptococcus infection. Neoreviews 2021; 22:e177–e188.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.